App. F. IX-4

1935.
(SdA)

SdA File No. 440-35
Serial Nos. 1-5

*Previous References*
SdA 220-36 (1-2)
SdA 220-36 (3-15)
SdA 175-06 (1-4)
*Letter References.*
SPA 349-38 (1)
SP 3-50-P, 2
SP 9-52 (1-2)
SP 9-55 (1-2)

preserve
By 28/10/47

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.* five

**Part B for** July/1937

**SUBJECT.**

Distribution of the pension of Mr Ginnamagalam
-palaya pallegar family

R S. To the Comptroller of Stores
No JC/695 dt 28.6.37
Required that immediate effect may
be given to GO. No JC 5/117/SdA 440-35-2
dated 1st February 1937 and payment
of Pension including enhanced rate
by GOs 5-6 to cease made further with

WD 03607—GPB—22,500—2-8-35

Distribution of the Pedagar pension of the Gummanayakana Palya Pollegar family

General and Revenue Secretariat

Jud File No. 4610 of 1935. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Letr no 29 21/8th Try dt 14th Dec 35 from the Comptroller forwarding the report of the S.H.T Officer in regard to certain representations made by him about the distribution of the Political pension of the Gummanayakana Pollegar family -

1 Some of the members of the family petitioned Govt alleging that the present Head-bar awardar Narasimha Naik was not distributing the pensions properly among the several subsharers as enjoined in the G.O. no H 5951/SHA 222-30-2 dt 28.1.31 The petitioners were informed that they should make their representations in the matter to the S.H.T. Officer. vide no H 1900 dt 24.9.34

Hag M

Hag E -

2 The S.H.T. Officer having heard the Counsels on both sides is of the opinion that the Headbarawardar is not fulfilling the terms of the Govt orders and the previous Karars, that the payments are not being properly made and that the existing arrangement of the Headbarawardar drawing the entire family pension and distributing it among the members is very inconvenient and has caused hardship

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

to the Subscribers

) He Hon for Recommends 1) That the pension of Rs ~~572~~ 572-0-4 which is now registered in the name of the Head Karkoon Narasimha Naik may be revised to Rs 375/- plus Rs 56-4-0 - plus Rs 10-8-0 (war allowance) and that he be registered as Karkoon for the balance of Rs 572-12-4.

2) That the Poddanarasimha Naik may be registered as Cheraskoon for Rs 75/—

3) That the petitioners Gummanaik & Naik Narasimha Naik be registered as Jaraketi Rs Karkoons for ½ of Rs 11-12-0 or Rs 5-14-0 each; and

4) That the pension of each of these four persons may be disbursed direct from the Treasury. But the Treasury Officer does not anticipate that other members will also desire a similar arrangement in respect of their shares, unless the Head Karkoon treats them properly.

5) No fee for each as 1891 it has been observed that Ramalingappa Naik the

* Rs 10-8-0 represents the amount claimed by Gummanaik and Chikkanarasimha Naik but the Collector has allowed to each number in his G.O. No 327 dt 1893.

Serial No.

Flag N.

Flag O-

Q.

Recognised head of the family failed on various pretexts to give effect to the terms of 1864-65 arrived at by him as regards Subbanna Naik and Ramappa Na to whom larger shares of pension should have been given. When these individuals made frequent complaints the Govt. after a full investigation decided the future enjoyment of the pension in G.O. 4187-8 & 5/9.7.1891. Subsequently in Dec 1891 (vide no 9397-8 & 3.12.91) when Govt. interference became necessary they observed that the distribution ordered in July 1891 was just and in accordance with the reasonable requirements of the various branches of the family, as also the G.O. of 23.5.1890 clearly laid down "That the head of the family must make due allowances to subordinate members. These allowances are liable to variations from time to time according to the lawful and just requirements of the subordinate members and the means at the disposal of the Head. According to this order it was expected of the karavaran

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

to suitably reward him always be ready to give a satisfactory account of the management facility which he should make room for ~~[illegible]~~ worthier persons or enjoy his stipend in division as may appear right to Govt.

5 It has been the policy of the Govt in respect of these grants not to split up the stipend but to register the entire amount in the name of a single head who holds him responsible for the proper disbursement of the share of each branch or member. It has also been the practice not to entertain complaints or to refer such complaints to the head himself. But of late disputes of an of very common occurrence in the grants and the ~~[illegible]~~ question of distribution has been cropping up time and again. The grants has also grown and there are nearly ~~[illegible]~~ Sixteen sub sharers to the grant. ~~This obnoxious question should therefore be set at rest.~~

~~Under the proposal of the Settlement Officer~~

Serial No.

in para 9 of his letter ~~may be~~ under disposal may be sanctioned or ~~whether~~ the pension in question may be split up and registered separately in the name of each sharer is for orders—

now 6) There are however certain points 25/1 requiring further elucidation.

7) Rs 10-8-0. referred to in para 9 of the Sheristadar Huzur Dy Tahsildar's letter under disposal is proposed to be distributed between Lyamma Naik and Chikkanarasimha Naik. Reference in this connection is invited to para 8 in the S.H.T. officer's letter of 29-11-30. If this sum is not included in the share allowed to each member of the family and rests on some private arrangement between the parties it is not clear how it is now proposed to be distributed as above

Flag W

8) Again it is not clear how Narasimha Naik becomes a varavaradar for Rs 305-4-4 (entire pension being Rs 572-0-4) if distribution is made as in para 9 of the letter under disposal viz Doddanarasimha Naik as varavaradar for Rs 75/- and petitioners Lyamma Naik and Chikkanarasimha Naik as varavaradars for Rs 66-12-0. but Rs 430-4-0 remains after the above distribution

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

2637

File No. of 193 . General and Revenue Secretariat

which one Narashimha Naik may become a barawanaar.

The Comptroller may be requested to verify the above and also to report

1) the no of sub shares to the pension

2) their relative shares according to the principle enunciated in previous Govt orders governing the distribution of the Kumanayaka anapalya pallegar family pension with a view to settle the question of distribution.

Submitted for orders.

21/1

(+) Comptroller with compliments for favour of a report on the points raised above.

B.O.
M Shamanna
For H Seey.

12/2/36.

H.O.No.213
dt 12.2.36.

S.H.T

Peon
Dr
7/2/36

Serial No.

No. 4350 13-3-36 En. one file

11 Returned with compliments.

12 A further note of the State Huzur Treasury Officer in regard to the points raised in the file as required is enclosed herewith.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY No.

Comptroller.

GR

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

13) Mr.Narasimha Naik becomes a barawardhar for Rupees 430-4-4, if distribution of Rs.75/- and Rs.66-12-0 are made to the other three barawardhars separately. The amount noted as Rs.505-4-4 by this office is therefore a clerical error for Rs.430-4-4-

(2) A revised statement showing the names of the Head and the sub barawardhars and the amounts pensions that they are getting now compared with what they were getting before the general increase of 50% which was sanctioned in orders 1985-6/G.F.14-9-33 dated 30-7-1893 is enclosed herewith.

(3) 14) From the records below, it is seen that from the agreement executed by him the Head barawardhar Ramalinga Naik, was paying Rs.10-8-0 to both Gumminaik and Chika Narasimha Naik, so long as he lived i.e., till 13-12-1930. His successor Narasimha Naik was not paying this sum of Rs.10-8-0, after he became the Head barawardhar. This has therefore, given rise to misunderstanding, with the result that they got ~~thers~~ their quota of Rs.56-4-0 paid direct from the Treasury. It is not just to deprive them of the sum of Rs.10-8-0 that they were getting all along and it is therefore suggested, that this amount may be added to the shares of Rs.56-4-0 and the amount payable to the Head barawardhar reduced to that extent.

15) (4) The arrears amounting to Rs.672 for 64 months from December 1930 to March 1936 at Rs.10-8-0 per mensem payable to them may, if Government think fit, be ordered to be paid by the Head barawardhar in easy instalments.

10-8-0 x 64
640
32
672.

G. Rudrappa
Officer in charge,
State Huzur Try.

U.

Lyumma nayaka and palya family pension

11

General and Revenue Secretariat | 3rd File No. 140 of 193 5 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

16) Ref to State Huzur Treasury officer's further note.

17) So if the distribution as proposed by the S. H. T officer in para 9 of his letter of 14-12-35 be approved, (1) Sri Narasimha Naik becomes a Barawardar for Rs 30-4-4, (2) Dodda Narasimha Naik as Barawardar for Rs 7-5 & (3) Petitioners Lyumma Naik & Chik Narasimha Naik as Barawardars for 1/2 of Rs 66-12-0 or Rs 33-6-0 each

Includes Rs 10-8-0.

18) There are nearly 8 subshares to the pension as can be seen from the list furnished by the State Huzur Treasury officer. After separate registry of shares as above Sri Narasimha Naik remains Barawardar for the rest viz 1, 2, 3, 4, 7 & 8. (Vide list Hag P)

Hag P.

19) It is clear from the records placed before that the present Head Barawardar viz Narasimha Naik is not fulfilling the terms of the Govt order of 3rd Dec 1891 and has on several occasions failed to give effect to the conditions of 1864-65 entered into between the then Barawardar and other subsharers. According to the order of 3rd Dec 1891 it is open to Govt to interfere and settle the differences in the family pension.

Hag D.

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

The only Course open to Govt to end the present trouble would be by splitting up the pension and making a separate registry of each share. Perhaps the S.H.T. officer may be asked to issue notices to the Heads bar awar and other Shares and take their written Statements in regard to the splitting up the pension

2) Dispute has arisen among the family members about a sum of Rs 10-8-0. According to a private agreement executed by the then barawarsoar this sum was being paid to both Gumma Naik and Chikka Narasimha Naik so long as he lived. The present Head barawarsoar has evaded payment and it is suggested by the S.H.T officer that this sum of Rs 10-8-0 may be added to the Shares of Gumma Naik and Chikka Narasimha Naik. This rests on a private arrangement between the parties and as such whether Govt may interfere and enforce this private arrangement is for orders. It is not clear whether Rs 10-8-0 forms part of the share viz Rs 163-11-6 assigned

Serial No.

to the Head barawardar and does not affect other Sharers. affected.

22) In his further note (Flag 'O') the State Huzur Treasury Officer recommends that the arrears amounting to Rs 672 for 64 months from Dec 30 to March 36 at Rs 10-8-0 p.m. payable to Gumma Naik and Chikka Narasimh Naik may be ordered to be paid by the Head barawardar in easy instalments

22) Subd for orders.

ver 27/3.

23) The family has grown and interests are divided. Consequently disputes are inevitable. In this view it appears best to register each recipient of the shares in the pension as a Separate barawardar

24) For purposes of this registry, the distribution as shown in the statement of 10.3.36 prepared by the State Huzur Dy Officer which is in accordance with the orders of Govt. may be adopted.

25) There is no reason to recognise ~~how~~ now any private arrangement or agreement between the Head barawardar & the Sub-Sharers Gumma Naik & Chikka Narasimha Naik for a transfer of a sum

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

of Rs 10-8-0 to the latter from the pension drawn by Narasimha Naik. A similar request from Dasappa Naik in 1897 was vetoed — flag R.

AW 20/4 27) H Secy) 20/4/36.

27) In Government order No.Fl 5951 S & A 222-30-2 dated 26th January 1931, Government accepted the recommendation of the State Huzur Treasury officer that(1)Mr. Narasimha Naik be registered as the Head Barawardar of the entire pension of Rs 572-0-4 relating to the Gummanaikanapalyam Pallegar ~~family~~ in place of the deceased Ramulingappa Naik family (2)Mr.Narasimha Naik should disburse the shares of each branch to the surviving members thereof, except that a sum of Rs 78 payable to Dodda Narasimha Naik should be deposited by the Barawardar in the State Huzur Treasury for disbursement direct to the payee therefrom.

Flag H.

28) Gumma Naik and Chicka Narasimha Naik represented that they might be registered separately as Barawardars for Rs 33-6-0 each(or Rs 66-12-0 to both of them which includes Rs 10-8-0 payable to them by the Head Barawardar according to a private agreement). The recommendations of the State Huzur Treasury officer ~~is~~ are as follows: -

(1)Mr.Dodda Narasimha Naik may be registered as Barawardar for Rs 78

(2)The petitioners Gumma Naik and Chicka Narasima Naik may be registered separately as Barawardars for Rs 33-6-0 each

(3)The pension of Rs 572-0-4 which is now registered in the name of Mr.Narasimha Naik may be reduced by Rs 141-12-0(items 1 and 2)and be registered as Barawardar for the balance of Rs ~~506-4-4~~ 430-4-4 only

Serial No.

(4)The pension of each of these four persons may be disbursed to each of them directly from the Treasury

29) The State Huzur Treasury Officer also adds that perhaps the other Members of the family may also desire similar arrangement to be made in respect of their shar but there is no request to that effect on record, and Government ~~therefore~~ need not therefore anticipate it a present.

30) The two main points for consideration are:--

(1)whether it is possible or desirable to treat ~~eachhhhhhhhhhhhh~~ each recipient of the shares in the pension as a separate barawardar

(2)whether the payment of Rs 10-8-0, which was be ~~made~~ paid by the Head Barawardar to two of the Barawar under a private agreement, can be recognised by Government, in fixing the share payable to each.

31) The whole trouble seems to be due to the failure on the part of the Head Barawardar to pay the shares due to the several Barawardars promptly. The shares payable to each, aggregating Rs 572-0-4, are mentioned in the statement(Flagged P), and there appears to be no harm i accepting the recommendation of the State Huzur Treasur officer ~~so far as treating~~ to treat each recipient as a separat barawardar as and when desired. The Law department may also be consulted. Regarding (2), also, the opinion of the Law department is necessary in the first instance.

32) If it is held that it is not desirable to split the pension and recognise each recipient as a separate barawardar, the action of the Treasury Officer in having arranged for the payment of Rs 56-4-0 to the petitioners directly from the treasury, as in the case of Rs 75 which is being paid to Mr.Dodda Narasimha in accordance with the Government order of 28

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

2858 f36
10

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

33) The Law Deptt will be consulted in the first instance.

34) H Secy

35) Law Deptt with compliments)

36) It has been the Policy of the Government in respect of this family to register the Stipend in the name of a single head, called the Head Baravardar and make him responsible for disbursement of the share of each branch or member. This power vested in the Head Baravardar is liable to be abused, and naturally therefore there have been complaints against the Head Baravardar from time to time. In 1931, on a contention put forward by Dodda Narasimha Naik, the Govt directed (see Flag M) that the Head Baravardar should, after drawing the Stipend must deposit a sum of Rs 75/- for payment direct by the Treasury to Dodda Narasimha Naik

37) Gumma Naik and Chikka Narasimha Naik now claim that they may be registered as Baravardars in respect of the Stipends due to their share. The other Stipendiaries are also quite willing for a re distribution of the Stipends

W 6/11

AV 10/11

10/11

12/11

Law Dept 11.11.36

2637
7

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 . | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

38) The members of the family constantly fluctuate in numbers. Many families have now no male heirs, and some widowed ladies have been drawing the pension. Some branches have grown in numbers, but the amount of pension has not undergone any corresponding change.

39) The Government have laid down in their order dated 28-5-1890 (Flag Q) "that the head of the family must make due allowances to subordinate members. These allowances are liable to variations from time to time according to lawful and just requirements of the subordinate members and the means at the disposal of the head." That order also reserves the right of the Government to decide the question of redistribution of the pension on a consideration of the number of members belonging to each branch. This right has already been exercised by Government in their order dated 5-9-91 (Flag S) and the redistribution was then ordered. The question of redistribution has not been taken up since.

40) I think therefore that it is desirable to hold an inquiry regarding the strength of each branch and redistribute the pension according to the size and other circumstances of various branches.

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

41) I do not see any objection to treat each recipient of the stipend, as a separate Varisudar and allow him to draw the pension from the Treasury. Considering the changing conditions of the times, I think that this Course will certainly lead to greater harmony among the various stipend holders. This request of the stipend holders has been partially recognised in the Government order of 1931 (Flag M). I would, however, suggest that a minimum amount might be fixed for a stipend holder to be entitled to have his name registered as a Varavardar, as it is not unlikely that in course of time the families further branch off, several members may be entitled to very small amounts.

42) The other question is whether the payment of Rs 10-8-0 which was being made to the Head Varavardar of Gumma Naika viz Chikka Narasimha Naika under a private agreement can be recognised by Government in fixing the share payable to each. The arrangement is evidenced by two agreements dated 28-8-13, executed by Ramalinga Appa Naik, the then Varavardar.

(See Flag N & O)

2637/7

General and Revenue Secretariat . File No. of 193 . [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

It is also admitted that till the death of Ramalingappa Naik in 1930, the enhanced amount was regularly paid, and that the troubles have started since the present Head Boravardar assumed charge. It is true that the Government is not bound to recognise such a private arrangement. But on equitable Considerations, I think, the arrangement should be validated and enforced. Gummanna Naik and Chikka narasimha Naik were the sons of Ramalingappa Naik's brother. The Government order of 1890 (Flag B) expected the Head Boravardar to make allowances to subordinate members according to their lawful and just requirements. It was recited in the agreements executed by Ramalingappa Naika, that as Gummanaik and Chikka-narasimha naik had became divided and the pension which they would get to their share would not suffice, he would accordingly undertake to pay them each Rs 5-4-0 more. This arrangement was to be in perpetuity (ಶಾಶ್ವತ ವಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಪೂರ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದ ಪ್ರಕಾರವೇ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡು). In an inquiry before the Amildar of Bagepalli on 29.5.30, both Ramalingappa Naik and the present Head Boravardar have agreed to abide by the terms of the agreement and pay the enhanced amount to the claimants. Such a private agreement has been previously recognised by Government

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

Distribution of the pension of the Gummanayakanapalya Allegar family.

File No. of 193 . General and Revenue Secretariat

in 1897 ( Flag R). I am therefore of opinion that the private agreements in question can be recognised by Government, in giving the share payable to Gumma Naik and Chicka Narasimha Naik.

Asst. Secy. Fin. Dr.) BKR
With Compliments 30.1.36

Reference paras 33 and 34 of the Notes. The proposals of the State Huzur Treasury officer are:--

(1) Mr. Donda Narasimha Naik may be registered as Barawardar for Rs 75

(2) Messrs Gumma Naik and Chicka Narasimha Naik may be registered separately as Barwardars for Rs 33-6-0 each

(3) The pension of Rs 572-0-4 which is now registered in the name of Mr. Narasimha Naik may be reduced by Rs 141-12-0 (items 1 and 2) and be registered as Barawardar for the balance of Rs 430-4-4 only.

4) The pension of each of these may be disbursed directly from the Treasury.

The Law department is agreeable to these proposals and they may therefore be perhaps approved.

The Assistant Secretary, Law department further suggests that it is desirable to hold an inquiry regarding the strength of each branch and redistribute the pension according to the size and other circumstances of various branches (Vide para 40 of the Notes). The State Huzur Treasury Officer may perhaps be asked to make such an inquiry and submit a detailed report to Government in the matter.

(1) Asst. Secy. May be approved
HC Secy) 1/2/36

BL) We need not start an inquiry of this kind of our own accord
1/2/36.

Serial No.

48) So far as this family is concerned, we have not so far split up the pension, for purposes of registry and the entire pension is registered in the name of the Head Barawardar. In January 1931, Government accepted the recommendation of the State Huzur Treasury officer that (1) Mr. Narasimha Naik be registered as the Head Barawardar of the entire pension of Rs 572-0-4 and (2) he should disburse the shares of each branch to the surviving members thereof, except that a sum of Rs 75 payable to Dodda Narasimha Naik should be deposited by the Barawardar in the State Huzur Treasury for disbursement direct to the payee therefrom. The State Huzur Treasury officer in para 6 of his letter dated 14-12-35 has stated that the share of pension payable to Messrs Gumma Naik and Chicka Narasimha Naik (Rs 56-4-0) is being disbursed to them directly from the Treasury, with the consent of the Head-Barawardar, as in the case of Dodda Narasimha Naik as a temporary measure subject to the approval of Government, and that this arrangement has now to be confirmed by Government. I think that it would be sufficient if this arrangement is confirmed and the pension due to them (including Rs 10-8-0 payable to them as per private agreement, in accordance with the note of the Law department) is arranged to be disbursed to them directly from the Treasury, instead of registering each portion separately.

44) Dewan

18.1.37.

TYPING SECTION 1937

50) Submitted with a draft G.O. for approval 18-1-37

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417--GPB—30,000-8-9-36

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

G.O. no R 5117 dated 2·2·37

To the Comptroller to Govt-

2

Directs that in future the shares of pension payable to messrs Gumma Naik & Chikka Narasimha Naik be disbursed to them direct from the treasury instead of registering each portion separately

From Chikka Narasimha Naik dated 3.3.37 3A

Complaint that the pension due has not been disbursed to him as yet. Representation has been pending.

Letter no 6414/S.H. Govt dated 16-3-37 from

Re: Govt's orders: -

10. The Comptroller for favour of dispatch or report.

(Sd) S.S.

8/3/37

The Comptroller to Govt- forwarding the report of the S.H.T. Officer on the representation of certain members of the family in the matter of distribution of the family pension -

3.

In G.O. no R 5117/SRA 660-35-2 dated 2.2.37 it was directed that the shares of pension payable to messrs Gumma Naik & Chikka Narasimha Naik be disbursed to them direct from the Treasury. But from the report since received it is seen that action has not been taken accordingly. The dispute has arisen again as to the payment of an excess sum of Rs 10-8-0/- ordered to be paid to Messrs Gumma Naik & Chikka Narasimha Naik. The Head Karnam of Nagamangala Mr Narasimha Naik

Flag X

Distribution of the Gummanayakan palya salagara [illegible] pension



Serial No.

has been evading payment of this amount of Rs 10-8-0 and his contention is that it is not binding on him to pay this sum and requests that it might be kept in deposit in the treasury pending disposal of his review petition which he would submit in the matter in the course of time. It would perhaps cause hardship to the sharers if the disbursement of the pension is delayed any longer. The S.H.T. Officer has suggested that the ~~His~~ Head barawardar might be compelled to draw the amount and pay the ~~amount~~ Shares due to the Sub Sharers and if he refuses to do so the Sub-barawardars may be permitted to draw their portions separately.

52) For orders

53) From the petition of Gumma Naik & Chikkanarasimha Naik dt 3.3.37 & the report of the State Huzur Dry: officer, it is evident that the Head barawardar is evading the drawing of the pension & flouting the orders of Govt. Non-disbursement of the sums due to Sub-barawardars will cause not a little inconvenience to them, as most of them mainly depend on their portion of the stipends.

17/3

P.U.D

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417--GPB—30,000—8-9-36

Serial No.

5c) The more gentlemanly course would have been to appeal to Govt for reconsideration of the order of 2nd Febr 1937 & the party could have done this immediately on receipt of orders. In his petition of 4.3.37 he simply states that he intends approaching Govt, but has not done so for. He contends that the agreement to pay Rs 5-4-0 to Each of the afore-said Sub-barawardars, 'even if it be' is illegal & cannot be Enforced.

5d) Reference is however invited to the Karara Executed on 28th Aug 1113 by Mr. Ramalingappa Naik the father of the present head-barawardar in favour of Gumma Naik & Chikka-narasimha Naik agreeing to pay Each Rs 5-4-0 Extra. In his deposition of 29.5.30 before the Amildar of Bagepalli he (the present head-barawardar) has stated ° ಅವರ ತಂದೆ ನಿ ಅ ಗು ತಿ ರುವ 56-4-0 ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಇನ್ನು 10-8-0 ಹೆ ಚ್ಚಿ ಗೆ ಕೊಡುವ ವಿ ಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ತಂದೆ ಮೇಲೆ ಅ ರ್ಜಿ ಹಾಕಿ ದ್ದ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಯಿ ಟ್ಟಿ ರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅವರಿಗು ಸಿ ಕ್ಕು ವ ಹಾಗೆ ಆಯಿ ದ್ದಂ ತೆ ಆಯಿ ಇರುವಾಗ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ 10-8-0 ಕೊಂ ಡು ಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವ ದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಲಿ ಅನ್ಯಾಂ ಶ ಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲ -

5e) From this, it is clear that he is prevaricating & not questioning the validity or correctness of this agreement.

5f) Before issue of final orders, the Comptroller may be asked to issue notice to the head-barawardar that he should immediately draw the stipend & make arrangements to disburse the Shares to the Sub-barawardars failing which other necessary steps would be taken

re Polygar Division-

# GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

## General and Revenue Secretariat

A visitor desiring to see any officer is requested to send up his name, stating the nature of his business.

IW 18/3

**Name** A. N. RamaRao

Advocate & Polygar agent Dindigal

**Whom he wishes to see** Secretary

**Business** Summary of Kanakagiri Polygar Case

in the matter; or in the alternative he should immediately draw the pension as before, i.e. as he was drawing prior to the order of 2nd Febr 1937 & deposit the Enhancement sum of Rs 10-8-0 with the State Treasury Officer pending his appeal.

58) ... Fl Secy) ... 19/3

Petition of 8.6.37 from Chikkanarasimha Naik of the Gummanayakanapalya Palegar family: Stating that a sum of Rs 5-4-0 each payable to himself and Gummanaik has not been disbursed to them as ordered in G.O. of 2.2.37 - Flag X, - that this sum has been ordered to be kept in Deposit in the S.H. Treasury and requesting orders for the payment of the amount in full.

59) Re Submitted with the connected file

60) Mr. Rama Rao represented that he would put in an appeal when he last waited on the Fl: Secy, but has not sent any petition till now.

61) For orders.

Fl: Secy) ... 16/4

62) It was 2 months'-back that Mr. Rama Rao, Agent of the head-barawardar of this pension saw the Financial Secretary. He has not since then chosen to send up any representation against the orders passed.

63 Orders sanctioning the enhancement of the pension of Messrs. Gumma Naik and Chikkanarasimha

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Immanaya Kanapalya Pallegar pension

8 77 File No. 440 of 193 5

General and Revenue Secretariat

DEWAN'S OFFICE
11 JUNE 1937
No. 5660

Hgs. H. Q. V.

Naik by Rs 5/4/0 to each were passed after consulting the Law Department. - Vide para 42 supra, note dated 30-11-1936.

(c) Even so recently as 1930 in an enquiry before the Amildar of Bagepalli both Ramalingappa and the present head barawardar Mr. Narasimha Naik have agreed to abide by the terms of the agreement and pay the enhanced amount.

(5) There is thus nothing more to be heard or enquired into. The agent is only evading to gain time and put off payment, thus causing annoyance and vexatious inconvenience to the sub-barawardars.

(6) Instruction may therefore issue now requiring that orders passed may be given effect to immediately and payment of the stipends including the enhanced sum of Rs 5-4-0 to each made.

R Secy

I agree 11/6/37

11-6-37

Please put up a draft

Sub: Issue with a draft.

Issue

H. 7695 dated the 28/6/37.

To the Comptroller to Govt.

Request that immediate effect should be given to G.O.

5.

RECEIVED
22 JUN 1937
SECL

3857/41

General and Revenue Secretariat | STA File No. 440 of 1935. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

dated the 2nd Feb 1937.

The Connected records will be returned to the State Huzur Treasury and their acknowledgment taken.

BRR 23/7

Receive the file of records

23/7/37

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417—GPB—30,000—8-9-36

S+A. 440-35-1

V. Asst

# GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

In reply please quote reference to No. 2921 S.H.Try.

Encl with letter amended for per

FINANCE DEPT. 16 DEC. 1935 2637 GEN. & REV. BRANCH

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE, BANGALORE,

*Dated the* 19th December *1935*.

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,
Financial Secretary to Govt. of Mysore,
Bangalore.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
13 DEC. 1935
No.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for the orders of Government the Report of the State Huzur Treasury Officer in regard to certain representations made to him about the distribution of the Political Pension of the Gummanayakanapalya Pollegar Family in accordance with your endorsement No. Fl.1900/S&A 220-30-13 dated 24th September 1932.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

MSR

State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore. Dated 14th December 193
- - - - - - - - - - - - - -

From

M.S.Ramachendra Rao Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Senior Assistant Commissioner
in charge of the State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore.

To

A.N.Raghavachar Esq., M.A.,
Comptroller to the Government
of Mysore, BANGALORE.

Sir,

I have the honour to invite attention to correspond ending with Endorsement No.Fl.1900/S.A. 220-30-13 dated - 24th September 1932 granted to M.R.Ry.Doddanarasimha Naik and other Members of Gummanayakanapalya Pollegar family, a copy of which was forwarded to you with the Financial Secretary's Memo No.Fl.1901/S.& A.220-30 dated 24th September 1932, and to state that as directed in the said endorsement, (1) Doddanarasimhappa Naik son of Vasantappa Naik (shown as M. i the accompanying geneological tree*),(2) Gumma Naik son of Krishnappa Naik (L),(3) Chikka Narasimhayya Naik (I), younge brother of No.2 and five other members approached me with th enclosed petition (Flag M) on 2nd November '32, setting fort certain representations. After one or two hearings, the parties expressed a desire to settle their differences out of Court which was complied with.

C

*Flag W

2. Nothing more was heard of the case till 2.8.34 when Venkatappa Naik (O) son of Dasappa Naik presented the enclos petition (Flag C) complaining that the head baramahal-dar Narasimha Naik had not been disbursing his share of the Tani pension regularly. Notice was issued to the opponent in due course, but that complaint was also eventually compromised (vide representation dated 5.9.34, Flag P).

3. Meanwhile on 31st August 1934, Mr.S.D.Ganesha Rao presented the enclosed petition (Flag ) on behalf of Gumma Naik (L) and his younger brother Chikka Narasimha Nayak (I)

[illegible] Naik, (Nos.2 & 3 referred to in para 1 [illegible]), stating that their pensions are not still being [illegible] regularly, by the head-barakurdar and they [illegible] harassed in other ways, and praying that the [illegible] may be posted for hearing, and the redress granted [illegible].

2. The case was accordingly posted for hearing [illegible] September 1974, when counsels on both sides were present and were heard. Sri.S.P.Ganesha Rao on behalf of the petitioners filed the written statement (Exhibit P) [illegible] Rao, agent and Counsel for the counter petitioner Sri.Narasimha Naik was asked to file his objection, if any to the relief prayed for by the petitioners being granted. He has failed to do so, although he was now indeed personally many times.

3. Along with the written statements, the petitioners have produced two agreements dated 8.8.17 on the strength of each of them by Ramalinga Naik father of the present barakar'-dar wherein the said Ramalinga Naik has specifically undertaken to pay Rs.10-0-0 per month to each of them or Rs.10-0-0 more than Rs.50.1.0 which their deceased father Krishnappa (P) was receiving under G.O.No.4187-8/41 dated 5.7.01 and G.O.No.M.11-17 dated 30.7.07. It is stated that as long as Ramalinga Naik was alive this enhanced amount of Rs.20-0-0 was being paid to them, and it is only after his death that all this trouble has arisen. It is also stated that in the enquiry held before the Amildar of Nagalli on 22.7.70 (vide para 7 of my report No. 2048 G.W.M. dated 28th November 1970) both Ramalinga Naik who was then alive and Narasimha Naik the present Barakar'-dar accepted the terms of the previous Karar and agreed to continue to pay the extra amount of Rs.10-0-0 as they were doing till then. Certified copies of these statements have been produced and they are also borne

borne out by the original records.

6. They also produced certain Money order receipts to show how irregularly and with what in ordinate delay the Baraward-dar was disbursing their share of the family pension; and prayed that payment may be made directly by the Treasury and not through the Baraward-dar. The opponent having signified his consent to this proposal, the petitioner's share of the family pension is, for sometime past, being disbursed to them directly from the Treasury, as a temporary measure subject to the approval of Government, on the analogy of the separate payment made to another member Dodda Narasimha Naik as per G.O.No.Fl.5951/S.& A.220-30-2 dated 28th January 1931. This arrangement has now to be confirmed and made permanent by Government.

7. As regards the request for the enhancement of the pension by Rs.10-8-0 (vide para 5 supra) although in para 8 of my report No.2048 dated 29th November 1930, I took the view that the arrangement rested on private contract ~~restriction~~ and that neither the Government nor the Khazana Bakshi can be expected to enforce specific performance there of and parties must seek relief in a proper court of law, I am now inclined to change that opinion. Because, in the first place all questions relating to the adjudication of claims relating to Pollegar pensions have been taken out of the jurisdiction of Civil Courts and vested in the Government. Secondly the policy of altering the distribution of shares of the family pension once fixed by Government, in accordance with private agreements of Karars, and in accordance with the size and other circumstances of the different branches of the family for the time being, has been recognized so far back as 1891 (vide G.O. No.4187-8/421 dated 5th July 1891). This policy has been affirmed in the subsequent G.Os.(i) No.4397-8/1003 dated 20th November-1891; and (ii) G.O.No.F.P.25/P.& A.F. 3-93 dated 9th November 1897. Thirdly, it is very desirable that this question which has been cropping up time and again during the last 40 years should be set at rest once and for ever.

...t of the existing arrangement of the Head ...-dar and in the entire family pension of ... to existing Rs.75 out of it in the State Huzur ... for disbursement direct to Mr.Dodda Narasimha ..., and distributing the remainder among himself ... other members of the family seem to be a very ... one.

... taking all these circumstances into considera- ... I have arrived at the slight modification of my previous ... suggestions:-

(i) that the pension of Rs.572-0-4 which is now registered in the name of Mr.Narasimha Naik may be increased by Rs.75/- plus Rs.56-4-0 plus Rs.10-8-0 (as alleged) and that he be registered as Mokhasadar for the balance of Rs.563-12-4 only;

(ii) that Mr.Dodla Narasimha Naik may be registered as Mokhasadar for Rs.75/-;

(iii) that the petitioners Subba Naik and Chik Hanumanta Naik be registered separately as Pattavardar for ½ of Rs.56-12-0 or Rs.28-6-0 each; and

(iv) that the pension of each of these four persons may be disbursed to him direct from the Treasury.

572-0-4
56-4-0
10-8-0
638-12-4
75-00
563-12-4

75
56
10.80
131.80

572-04
131.80
443.8.4

Perhaps the other members of the family may also ... a similar arrangement to be made in respect of their shares, unless the Head-Pattavardar ... properly. But there is no request to that ... effect on record, and we need not therefore anticipate ... a request.

... necessary references together with a copy of ... genealogical tree (Plan V) are enclosed as per ... Early orders are solicited.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

M.S. Ramachandra Rao
State Huzur Treasury Officer.

Two Statements showing the names of the Heads & Sub-Berzvedars & the amount of Pensions that they are getting

| | Before the General increase of 50% was sanctioned in Govt 1985-6 G.O. No 14-9-33 of 30-7-1893 | R | as | P | | After the increase of 50% | R | as | P |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Messrs | | | | | Messrs | | | |
| 1 | Ramalingappa Naik already recognized as head of the family | 115 | 1 | 7 | 1 | Narsinha Naik adopted Son of Ramalingappa Naik Now Head Berzvedar | 163 | 14 | 4 |
| 2 | Thimmappa Naik Brother of No 1 | 145 | - | - | 2 | Bangarappa Naik (2) Narsyalawamy Naik Sons of Thimmappa Naik @ 32-12-0 each | 34<br>32 | 12<br>12 | |
| 3 | Subbappa Naik do | 51 | 4 | - | 3 | (1) Venkatappa Naik & Grand Son of Subbappa Naik | 15 | 6 | |
| 4 | Dasappa Naik do | 137 | 8 | - | | (2) Subramanyappa Naik do | 15 | 6 | |
| | | | | | | (3) Subbamma Wife of late Ramaswamy Naik do | 15 | 6 | |
| 5 | Narasinha Naik Nephew of No 1 | 150 | - | - | | (4) Venkatalakshamma Wife of late Narsyalawamy Naik do | 15 | 6 | |
| | | | | | | (5) Dingappa Naik Son of Subbappa Naik | 15 | 6 | |
| 6 | Krishna Naik Brother of No 1 | 137 | 8 | - | 4 | Venkatappa Naik Son of Dasappa Naik | 65 | - | |
| | | | | | 5 | Doddaherasinha Naik | 75 | - | |
| 7 | Thimmappa Naik now a minor adopted Son of Ramappa Naik Cousin of No 1 | 45 | - | - | (6) | Gunna Naik & Chikkaherasinha Naik Now recommended @ 10-8-0 | 57 | 4 | |
| | | | | | (7) | Lakshamma Wife of Thimma Naik do | 30 | | |
| | | | | | (8) | Ramappa Ramakka | 37 | 8 | |
| | | 381 | 5 | 7 | | | 572 | - | 4 |

Bangalore

G. Rudrappa

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

Section Stt

File No. 460-35

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *193* .

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

Date of issue .....193

Signed by .....

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

*Read*— G.O. no H 5951/Stt 222-30-1 dy 20-6-31 ordering ~~Directing~~ that Sri Narasimha Naik be registered as the Head Karawadar of the entire Gummanayakana-palya pallegar family pension of Rs 872-0-0 with the direction that he should disburse the shares of each branch to the ~~Order thereon.—No.~~ surviving members thereof except that a sum of Rs 75/- payable to Sri Doddanarasimha Naik be deposited in the S.H. Treasury for disbursement direct to the payee therefrom

2. Letter no 2921/S.H.Try dy 19.12 from the Comptroller to Govt forwarding the report of the S.H. Treasury Officer in regard

to certain ~~members of the family~~ representations made to him by some members of the family about the distribution of the family pension of Rs 572-0-4 and recommending that ~~in the circumstances stated~~.

(1) the pension of Rs 572-0-4 which is now registered in the name of Mr Narasimha Naik be reduced by Rs 1-12-0 and that he be registered for the balance of Rs 630-4-4 and

(2) ~~that~~ Mr Dodda Narasimha Naik be registered as barawardar for Rs 75/- ~~p~~

(3) messrs Gumma Naik and Chikka Narasimha Naik be registered separately as barawardars for Rs 33-6-0 each (including Rs 5-4-0 payable to each of them according to the private agreement)

*List of Enclosure*

Over

At present a sum of Rs 75/- payable to Mr Dodda narasimha Naik is being deposited by the Head-barawardar in the State Huzur Treasury for disbursement direct to the payee therefrom as late

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

down in the G.O. read above

2. The S.H.T. officer states that, subject to approval of Govt and on the analogy of separate payment made to Mr Doddanarasimha Naik, the shares of pension payable to messrs Gumma Nai and Chikka Narasimha Naik are disb direct to them from the Treasury, the headbarcavaroar having signified his consent to the ~~proposal~~ it. Gov approve of the action taken by him bu are of the opinion that splitting up the pension for purposes of repa as recommended is not ~~desirable~~ desirable. They accordingly direct that in future the shares of pension payable to messrs Gumma Naik and Chikka Narasimha Naik (including Rs 10-8-0 pa -able to them as per private agreement) be ~~drawn~~ disbursed to them direct from the Treasury ~~as a temporary measure~~ as in the case of Mr Doddanarasimha Na -instead of registering each portion separ

WD 0255—GPR—10,000—23-8-32

To the Collector & Govt (Civil Records)

W/2811

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

---

Read:-

Government Order No. Fl.5951/S & A. 222-30-2, dated 20th August 1931, ordering that Mr. Narasimha Naik be registered as the Head Barawardar of the entire Gummanayakanpalya pallegar family -- pension of Rs. 572-0-4 with the direction that he should disburse the shares of each branch to the surviving members thereof ~~xxx~~ except that a sum of Rs. 75/- payable to Mr. Doddanarasimha Naik be deposited in the State Huzur Treasury for disbursement direct to the payee -- therefrom,

2. Letter No. 2921/S.H.Try. dated 19th December 1935 from the Comptroller to Government, forwarding the report of the State Huzur Treasury Officer in regard to certain representations made to him by some members of the family about the distribution of the family pension of Rs. 572-0-4 and recommending that (1) the pension of Rs. 572-0-4 which is now registered in the name of Mr. Narasimha Naik be reduced by -- Rs. 141-12-0 and that he be registered for the balance of Rs.430-4-4 only;

(2) Mr. Doddanarasimha Naik be registered as barawardar for Rs.75; and (3) Messrs. Gumma Naik and Chikkanarasimha Naik be registered -- separately as barawardars for Rs. 33-6-0 each (including Rs. 5-4-0 payable to each of them according to the private agreement).

---

Order No. Fl 547-/8A 640-35-2

Bangalore, dated the 2nd February 1937.

At present a sum of Rs. 75/- payable to Mr. Doddanarasimha Naik is being deposited by the Head-barawardar in the State Huzur Treasury for disbursement direct to the payee therefrom as laid down in the -- Government Order read above.

2. The State Huzur Treasury Officer states that, subject to -- approval of Government and on the analogy of separate payment made to Mr. Doddanarasimha Naik, the shares of pension payable to Messrs. Gumma Naik and Chikka Narasimha Naik are disbursed direct to them from the

Treasury, the head barawardar having signified his consent to it. Government approve of the action taken by him but are of the -- opinion that splitting up the pension for purposes of registry as recommended is not desirable. They accordingly direct that in future the shares of pension payable to Messrs. Gumma Naik and Chikkanarasimha Naik (including Rs. 10-8-0 payable to them as per private agreement) be disbursed to them direct from the Treasury as in the case of Mr. Doddanarasimha Naik instead of registering each portion separately.

K Venkata Rao
for Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Comptroller to Government with records.

TRM.2

S&A 440-35-3

Today please W 17/3

**GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.**

In reply please quote reference to No. 6414/S.H.Try. Er 4

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE, BANGALORE,

*Dated the* 16th March 193 7.

Rajasevanipuna S.Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,
Financial Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

FINANCIAL 3457 17 MAR 1937

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith for the orders of Government the report of the State Huzur Treasury Officer in regard to certain representations made to him about the distribution of Political pension of the Gummarayakere Palya Pallegar family in accordance with Government order No.Fl.5117/S&A 440-35-2 dated 2/5-2-1937.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

S.S.

BR 43

No. 1414

State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore, dated 16th March 37.

From

The Officer In-charge
State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore.

To

The Comptroller
of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Mr.A.N.Rama Rao, the Agent and Counsel for the head beravardar saw me again this morning and repeated his prayer that his ~~client~~ client viz., Mr.G.Narasimha Naik the head beravardar of Gummarayakere Palya may be permitted to draw the pension as before i.e., as he was drawing prior to the passing of the recent Government order viz., Fl 5117/S & A 440-35-2 dated 2/5-2-37 enhancing the amounts payable to the Sub beravardars and permit him to keep the enhanced amount under deposits pending his appeal to Government. Under these circumstances it is necessary that the head beravardar should be compelled to draw the amount according to the recent Government order and pay the share due to the sub beravardars and if he refuses to do so the Sub beravardars may be permitted to draw their portions separately to avoid the obstructive tactics of the head beravardar.

Nothing prevents him from recovering the excess amount paid to the other baravardars if he succeeds in his appeal.

The application presented by the head baravardars is also forwarded for your kind reference and early orders.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G. Rudrappa

Officer Incharge,
S.H.Treasury.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
No.

FINANCIAL
3224
4 MAR. 1937
CELL

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
4 MAR. 1937

S H Thy
Lemo to SH TO
for immediate
papers.

Copy
4/3/37

2.30
pm

5A 3.3

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

qBLi 87

To

The Khazana Bakshi

The State Huzur Treasury

Bangalore

Sir,

I am just now in receipt of Govt order No. RE 5117/SRA 440.35.2. Dated 25.2.37 calling upon me to deposit Rs 56-4-0 + 10-8-0 being the shares of Gumma Naik & Chick Narasimha Naik. This extra sum of Rs 10.8.0 has been mentioned in the said Govt order as "as per kararu". I am not aware of any kararu. I am no party to the same and I have not executed any. I do not know how the same is made binding on me and I am asked to deposit Rs 10.8-0 more than the legitimate share of Rs 56.4-0 which I was depositing in the Treasury on my own motion these days.

The Govt order has caused serious prejudice and will cause considerable loss to me and my descendants by the aforesaid Govt order asking me to deposit Rs 10/8 per mensem. Further I most respectfully beg to submit that the Poligar pension being a

being a political pension inalienable, cannot form the subject matter of a private agreement. Neither the transferor in the present case late Mr Ramalingabba Naik nor the transferee can enter into private agreements, without obtaining the previous sanction of Govt for so doing.

I further respectfully beg to submit that the agreement, even if true, is illegal and cannot be enforced. It was executed by late Mr Ramalingappa Naik, my father, when I was a little boy and the agreement was never executed for any consideration and as such about it can bind only the person making the same & not others.

In this connection it may not be out of place to humbly mention that the late Khajana Bakshi Mr M. S. Ramachandra Rao infact pointedly drew the attention of the Govt Gumma Naik & Chick Narasimha Naik in my & my Counsel's presence to the fact that the Karar

being in the nature of a private agreement cannot have any legal effect in respect of political pensions And if they wanted the Khazana Bakshi to give effect to such a Karar, they would be asking something which is impossible & that they may go to a civil Court and fight out their claims It is now a surprise to me how this point of view has been lost sight of.

Under these circumstances I intend approaching the benign Govt with a petition for a reconsideration of their order ccccd I humbly beg of you to kindly keep Rs 10/8 in deposit pending the parties and I shall abide by the Govt order to be passed in my review petition. The pension has to be drawn & disbursed to several shareholders and I cannot ~~date~~ it is respectfully prayed that you be graciously pleased to keep Rs 10-8-0 in deposit in the treasury and it may be paid according to the Govt orders on my review

nothing. No hardship will be caused to the parties and the account will be safe in the treasury.

I therefore pray that you will be pleased to order Rs 10/8 to be withheld from being paid to Chikk Narasimha Naik & Timma Naik & the Same being kept in deposit pending disposal of my review petition which will be submitted through you or if you should however think that you cannot stay the order, I may be permitted to receive the pension when the 10th of this month.

I remain
Sir
your most obedient Servant
G Balasimhamurthy Nalagaga
Pattegar.
Timmanayakanapalya
Nallacheruvu
Palmaner P.O.
Bangalore.

Enclosure ?
1-2-37

S&A 440-35-4







Before the Financial Secretary to Government,

Bangalore.

Memorialist:-

Chickanarasimha Naik, son of Krishnappa Naik, residing at Gummalapalli, Bagepalli taluk.

Re:- the paleyagar pension payable to memorialist and his elder brother Gumma Naik out of the Gummanaiakanapalya Paleygar Family pension.

The memorialist most humbly begs to bring to the notice of Government that orders were passed by Government In Order NO.Fl.5117/ S&A.440-35-2, Bangalore, dated 2/5-2-1937 that the pensions of memorialist and his brother Gumma Naik, namely Rs 33-6-0 each, be paid directly from the State Husur Treasury for the reasons mentioned in the recommendation of the State Huzur Treasury Officer. In the same order Government were pleased to recognise the right of the memorialist and his brother to receive the sum of Rs 33-6-0 each including Rs 5-4-0 payable to each of them under the agreement to which the present Head Barawarddar and his father were parties. This order was passed after considerable enquiry and on thebasis of the documents and statements of the concerned parties made before officers

officers of Government.

In spite of the above order which was passed over two months ago the memorialist and his brother have not been able to receive the full pension amount due to them. They are informed at the office of the State Huzur Treasury officer that the sum of Rs 5-4-0 will be kept in suspense in each of the two pension amounts and that the balance only will be paid.

This ~~is order~~ is opposed to the clear order passed by Government and is causing considerable hardship and difficulty to the memorialist and his brother.

The memorialist most humbly prays that Government may be graciously pleased to direct that the full pension amount in accordance with the Government order may be paid to the memorialist and his brother without any more delay.

The memorialist begs to state that this office may be graciously pleased to order speedy relief.

Bangalore.

7-4-1937.

memorialist.

8

S. D. Ganesha Rao
Advocate for petitioner

**GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE**

**General and Revenue Secretariat**

No. Fe 7695 / S+A 440-35-5. Bangalore, Dated, 23rd June 1937

28/6

From

The *Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Comptroller to Government, Bangalore.

*Sir,*

With reference to your letter No. 6414 dated the 16th March 1937 regarding the shares of the Gummanayakanhalli Pallegar Family pension payable to Messrs. Gumma Naik and Chikkanarasimha Naik, I am directed to request that immediate effect may be given to G.O. No. Fl. 5117/S&A 440-35-3 dated the 2nd February 1937 and payment of the stipends including the enhanced sum of Rs. 5-4-0 to each made forthwith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K Venkata Rao

for Financial Secretary to Government.

C.R.G.2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section SA

File No. 440-35

Serial No. 5

No. 27695

*Diary No. of letter replied to*.....

To

The Comptroller to Govt

Sir

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *193* .

~~In Continuation of G.O. No. 76~~ ~~dated 24/2/37~~

~~5117/SA/440-35-2, passing orders~~

With reference to your letter no. 64148 dt 16.3.37

Date of issue 28/6/37

regarding the shares of the Gumma-nayakanhalli Pallegar Family pension payable to Messrs. Gumma Naik and Chikkanarasimha Naik, I am directed to request you to be so good as ~~to give~~ effect immediately to the Orders passed in the G.O. dated 24/2/37 No. 5117/SA 440-35-2 ~~referred to above~~ and payment of the stipends including the enhanced sum of Rs. 5-4-0 to each of the two Baravardars

Signed by Mr K.M. Rao

That of immediate effect may be given to the G.O. No. 76 5117/SA 440-35-2 dt 2.2.1937 & payment of the stipends including the enhanced sum of Rs 5.4.0 to each made forthwith.

I have etc

List of enclosures.....

MV 17/6

For Fe: Secy

Copied by .....

For Financial Secretary

Examined by.....

~~Copy to~~ For Chikkanarasimha Naik

Son of Krishnappa Naik, residing at Gummalapalli, Bagepalli Tq, with reference to his petition dated 7/8th April 1937

By Order.

For Financial Secretary.

16.6.37